

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,477	03/08/2001	Thomas Dodt	P20466	4933
7055 7	7590 01/29/2003			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
1950 ROLANI RESTON, VA	D CLARKE PLACE 20191 JOHNSTONE, ADRIE		ADRIENNE C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	
			(-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6			- A	<u> </u>				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/800,477	DODT ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO THE M - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	October 2002 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.						
3) 🗌								
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
•	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-21</u> is/are rejected.							
•	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.							
•—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ition).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment	t(s)							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office							

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 6 and 7 are now directed to a different species than the remaining claims. In most situations the additional species in these claims (acoustically transparent support element in the form of a perforated foil) would be withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected species as per 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03 because applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented species (acoustically transparent support element in the form of a woven mesh), thereby constructively electing the originally presented species by original presentation for prosecution on the merits; however, since the examiner in parent application 08/955,920 examined claims directed the perforated foil species, the burden inherent in a plurality of claimed, unrelated, patentably distinct, and mutually exclusive species (see MPEP 808.01(a)) cannot be demonstrated in this case with respect to the perforated foil species. Instant species claims 6 and 7 directed to the perforated foil species will therefore be examined in this Office action along with the remaining claims 1-5 and 8-21.

Specification

2. The added subject matter filed in this continuing application which is not supported by the original disclosure in the parent application is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the new language in paragraphs 0029, 0031, and 0033-0035 is not supported by the original disclosure (parent application as originally filed).

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

This objection is made for the same reasons as set forth in paragraph 1 of the Office action mailed July 17, 2002 (Paper Number 3). Applicants argue that the added subject matter

Art Unit 1733

corresponds to the claims filed in this application, but because this application is a continuation of parent application 08.955,920 the original disclosure is the parent application as originally filed and not this application as originally filed (MPEP 608.04(b): the changes to the parent application disclosure as originally filed constitute a preliminary amendment which does not form part of the original disclosure in this continuation application). Applicants' other arguments are addressed in the 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph rejections of claims 1-3 and 6-21 below.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the specification paragraph 0050 the abbreviation "PU" is not defined.

Appropriate correction is required.

To overcome this objection applicants may amend paragraph 0050 such that "PU-foams" is changed to -- polyurethane (PU) foams -- .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 6-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants now argue that the instant claim 1 language requiring the sound-absorbing insert to be "coupled to" an acoustically transparent support element "comprising at least one layer of fibers oriented in a circumferential direction" includes the embodiment wherein the support element is provided as fibers that are distributed preferably uniformly in the sound-absorbing insert and oriented predominately in the circumferential direction of the tire

Art Unit: 1733

(specification paragraphs 0016, 0025-0026, and 0071-0072 and parent specification p. 4 lines 9-12, p. 6 lines 1-13, and p. 11 lines 11-30). While applicant may be his or her own lexicographer, a term in a claim may not be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of that term. See In re Hill, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947). The terms "layer of fibers" and "coupled to" in claim 1 are therefore used by the claim in view of applicants' arguments to mean "a group of fibers" and "forming part of," while the accepted meanings are "a single thickness or stratum of fibers" and "joined, linked, or connected to"; in the above-noted embodiment the fibers distributed inside the sound-absorbing insert are clearly not segregated into single thicknesses or strata of fibers and are not a distinct entity joined, linked, or connected to the sound-absorbing insert.

The term "foil" (very thin layer) as recited in instant claim 6 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite (the claim is now without the requirement that the foil somehow include a layer of fibers, which effectively set the thickness of the "foil" to be the same as the the thickness of the layer of fibers). The required thickness of the "foil" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

The claim 7 limitation that the perforated foil is isotropic directly contradicts claim 6 as amended, which requires the perforated foil to be "oriented in the circumferential direction": the material forming the foil itself (e. g., polymer chain structure) cannot be both isotropic and "oriented" (isotropic foil - foil having same material properties in all directions). One way to overcome this rejection would be to delete "oriented in the circumferential direction and" from claim 6 line 9 and "oriented in the circumferential direction" from claim 6 lines 11-12.

Applicants now argue that the parent application original disclosure recites the claim 10 language requiring the wrapped strip of sound-absorbing material to have "at least one side" coupled to the acoustically transparent support element, however this is not true when the claim

Art Unit: 1733

is interpreted in light of the specification specification paragraph 0067 and parent specification p. 10 lines 12-13 clearly require the acoustically transparent support element to be coupled to the strip on at least the radially outer side

In new claim 19 to define the abbreviation "PU" applicants should change "PU-foam" to --polyurethane (PU) foam --.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-3 and 6-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.
- A. There is no literal support in the parent application original disclosure for the instant claim 1 language.

Applicants have literal support in the parent application for the following:

- 1) the generic language describing the sound-absorbing insert provided with an acoustically transparent support element exhibiting tensile strength in at least the circumferential direction (specification paragraph 0011 and parent specification p. 3 lines 9-11);
- 2) the alternative generic language describing the sound-absorbing insert as opencell foamed material whose pores are oriented predominately in the circumferential direction to provide the insert with tensile strength in the circumferential direction (specification paragraphs 0012-0014, 0024, and 0070 and parent specification p. 3 lines 13-27, p. 5 lines 24-30, and p. 10 line 27 - p. 11 line 9)

3) the subgeneric language describing the sound-absorbing insert provided with an acoustically transparent support element exhibiting tensile strength in at least the circumferential direction (specification paragraph 0011 and parent specification p. 3 lines 9-11) including wrapping the support element over the surface of the sound-absorbing insert that is open to the tire interior such that it covers at least a portion of the insert cross-section (specification paragraph 0015 and parent specification p. 3 line 29 - p. 4 line 1);

- 4) the alternative subgeneric language describing the sound-absorbing insert provided with an acoustically transparent support element exhibiting tensile strength in at least the circumferential direction (specification paragraph 0011 and parent specification p. 3 lines 9-11) including [layers of] the support element placed at discrete radial distances from each other in the sound-absorbing insert (specification paragraph 0016 and parent specification p. 4 lines 4-9) such as by forming the insert from a ring-shaped strip of sound-absorbing material that is looped around the rim several times, the support element attached to at least the outer side of the strip such that each layer of the strip also contains a support element layer (specification paragraphs 0020-0021 and 0064-0067 and parent specification p. 4 line 29 p. 5 line 6 and p. 9 line 25 p. 10 line 13);
- 5) the species wherein in the sound-absorbing insert provided with an acoustically transparent support element exhibiting tensile strength in at least the circumferential direction (specification paragraph 0011 and parent specification p. 3 lines 9-11) the support element is provided as fibers that are distributed preferably uniformly in the sound-absorbing insert and oriented predominately in the circumferential direction of the tire (specification paragraphs 0016, 0025-0026, and 0071-0072 and parent specification p. 4 lines 9-12, p. 6 lines 1-13, and p. 11 lines 11-30) which therefore is covered by neither

Art Unit: 1733

instance of subgeneric language (the fibers preferably uniformly distributed inside the insert are neither wrapped over the surface of the sound-absorbing insert that is open to the tire interior such that it covers at least a portion of the insert cross-section nor placed in layers at discrete radial distances from each other in the sound-absorbing insert);

- 6) the species wherein in the sound-absorbing insert provided with an acoustically transparent support element exhibiting tensile strength in at least the circumferential direction (specification paragraph 0011 and parent specification p. 3 lines 9-11) the support element is provided as a woven mesh (specification paragraphs 0017, 0053-0060, and 0065 and parent specification p. 4 lines 14-18, p. 7 line 25 p. 9 line 7, and p. 10 lines 1-7) covered by both instances of subgeneric language (the woven mesh can be either wrapped over the surface of the sound-absorbing insert that is open to the tire interior such that it covers at least a portion of the insert cross-section or placed in layers at discrete radial distances from each other in the sound-absorbing insert); and
- 7) the species wherein in the sound-absorbing insert provided with an acoustically transparent support element exhibiting tensile strength in at least the circumferential direction (specification paragraph 0011 and parent specification p. 3 lines 9-11) the support element is provided as a perforated foil (specification paragraphs 0018, 0061, and 0065 and parent specification p. 4 lines 20-23, p. 9 lines 9-14, and p. 10 lines 1-7) covered by both instances of subgeneric language (the perforated foil can be either wrapped over the surface of the sound-absorbing insert that is open to the tire interior such that it covers at least a portion of the insert cross-section or placed in layers at discrete radial distances from each other in the sound-absorbing insert).

By contrast, the subgenus language of instant claim 1 requires the sound-absorbing insert to be "coupled to" an acoustically transparent support element "comprising at least one layer of

Art Unit: 1733

fibers oriented in a circumferential direction" which clearly does not have literal support in the parent application original disclosure: the presence of dependent claims 4 and 5 directed to the woven mesh support element makes clear that the instant claim 1 language encompasses something more than just the originally disclosed woven mesh (otherwise these claims would not further limit claim 1).

B. There is no inherent support in the parent application original disclosure for the instant claim 1 language.

Applicants argue that the subgenus language of instant claim 1 requiring the soundabsorbing insert to be "coupled to" an acoustically transparent support element "comprising at
least one layer of fibers oriented in a circumferential direction" is inherently disclosed because
the general importance of tensile strength in the circumferential direction of the insert is
disclosed, however this is not the case here because:

1) The test for compliance with the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph is not what would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art but what is expressly or inherently disclosed. See, e.g., Lockwood v. American Airlines Inc., 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (CAFC 1997) Entitlement to a filing date does not extend to subject matter which is not disclosed, but would be obvious over what is expressly disclosed. It extends only to that which is disclosed. ... The question is not whether a claimed invention is an obvious variant of that which is disclosed in the specification. Rather, a prior application itself must describe an invention, and do so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that the inventor invented the claimed invention as of the filing date sought ... A description which renders obvious the invention for which an earlier filing date is sought is not sufficient.") and In re Barker and Pehl, 194 USPQ 470, 474 (CCPA 1977) quoting In re Winkhaus, Tusche, and Kampf, 188 USPQ 129, 131 ("That a person skilled in the art might realize from reading the

Art Unit: 1733

disclosure that such a step is *possible* is not a sufficient indication to that person that that step is part of appellant's invention.").

- 2) The parent application original disclosure does not include a representative number of specific support element embodiments to adequately describe the new subgenus language of instant claim 1 requiring the sound-absorbing insert to be "coupled to" an acoustically transparent support element "comprising at least one layer of fibers oriented in a circumferential direction". See, e.g., University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co., 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1406.
 - a) The presence of dependent claims 4 and 5 directed to the woven mesh support element makes clear that the instant claim 1 language encompasses something more than just the originally disclosed woven mesh (otherwise these claims would not further limit claim 1).
 - b) The only one of the originally disclosed support element examples noted above containing any fibers in a distinct layer (as opposed to the short fibers uniformly distributed in the insert material itself, specification paragraphs 0016, 0025-0026, and 0071-0072 and parent specification p. 4 lines 9-12, p. 6 lines 1-13, and p. 11 lines 11-30) is the specific woven mesh support element (specification paragraphs 0017, 0053-0060, and 0065 and parent specification p. 4 lines 14-18, p. 7 line 25 p. 9 line 7, and p. 10 lines 1-7).
 - c) The originally disclosed generic language describing the sound-absorbing insert provided with an acoustically transparent support element exhibiting tensile strength in at least the circumferential direction (specification paragraph 0011 and parent specification p. 3 lines 9-11) in combination with the originally disclosed embodiment wherein the support element is in the form of a woven mesh (specification paragraphs 0017, 0053-0060, and 0065 and parent specification p. 4 lines 14-18, p. 7 line 25 p. 9 line 7, and p. 10 lines 1-7) does not adequately describe the particular subgenus of support

Application/Control Number: 09/800,477 Art Unit: 1733

> elements "comprising at least one layer of fibers oriented in a circumferential direction" "coupled to" the sound-absorbing insert for purposes of compliance with the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph because there is no indication in the parent application original disclosure that applicants considered the subgenus structure to be the only characteristic of the woven mesh important in achieving circumferential tensile strength (for example, it may very well be at least as important that the woven mesh has fibers extending around the entire circumference of the insert in order to provide the requisite degree of tensile strength to the insert) and there are no other originally disclosed support element embodiments sharing the subgenus characteristics that would suggest that applicants were in possession of that subgenus at the time of filing of the parent application. See, e.g., In re Smith, 173 USPQ 679, 683-684 (CCPA 1972) generic disclosure plus species with at least 12 carbon atoms did not support subgenus of at least 8 carbon atoms) and In re Lukach, Olson, and Spurlin, 169 USPQ 795, 797 (generic disclosure of small molecular weight ratio plus species of molecular weight ratio 2.6 did not support molecular weight ratio 2.0-3.0). This is especially true in view of applicants' statement that "A series of possibilities exist for concrete embodiments of the support elements" (specification paragraph 0017 and parent specification p. 4 line 14), the specific nonfibrous perforated foil support element embodiment (specification paragraphs 0018, 0061, and 0065 and parent specification p. 4 lines 20-23, p. 9 lines 9-14, and p. 10 lines 1-7), and the virtually unlimited and unpredictable number of possible support element materials and constructions generically having tensile strength in at least the circumferential direction, including material whose microstructure has been chemically or physically modified to produce the requisite degree of tensile strength in the circumferential direction.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,477 Art Unit: 1733

C. There is no literal or inherent support in the parent application original disclosure for the instant claim 6 language.

Applicants recite in claim 6 as amended that the perforated foil is "oriented in a circumferential direction," which is clearly not supported by the parent application original disclosure: the perforated foil has tensile strength in the circumferential direction but the material forming the foil itself (e. g., polymer chain structure) is not disclosed to be "oriented". This is especially true in view of applicants' preferred embodiment of an *isotropic* perforated foil (isotropic foil - foil having same material properties in all directions). One way to overcome this rejection would be to delete "oriented in the circumferential direction and" from claim 6 line 9 and "oriented in the circumferential direction" from claim 6 lines 11-12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by European Patent
 Application 0 663 306 A2 cited by applicants.

See the abstract, Figure 2: sound-absorbing filler material housed in perforated bicycle inner tube, col. 4 lines 27-43 and col. 5 lines 8-29 (determined through oral translation). It should be noted that the instant claim term "perforated foil" does not distinguish over the EP '306 perforated bicycle inner tube due to the indefinite nature of the term "foil" as discussed in paragraph 5 above.

Art Unit 1733

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (703)308-2059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703)308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9311 for regular communications and (703)872-9310 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,477 Art Unit: 1733

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

advance C. Johnstone

Adrienne Johnstone January 22, 2003